



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*clo*  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,725	10/17/2003	Takanori Takeda	4041K-000158	3286
27572	7590	10/04/2004	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			LU, JIPING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/687,725	Applicant(s) TAKEDA, TAKANORI	
	Examiner Jiping Lu	Art Unit 3749	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  
     4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
     a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/17/03</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 4, 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,289,968) in view of Nonomura et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,600,137).

Maeda et al show a controlled atmosphere furnace 1 (Fig. 1) with a conveyor device 51-58 for continuously transporting articles 100 to be heated or brazed. The furnace 1 includes a preheating chamber 13 and a heating or brazing chamber 14. Atmosphere shutter chamber 21, 23, 31, 33 disposed forward and rearward of the brazing chamber 14 to prevent atmosphere gas in the brazing chamber 14 from escape. However, Maeda et al. does not show the article conveyed through the heating chamber being quickly heated by the re-circulating hot gas through circulation duct by a fan in a closed loop at a predetermined temperature. Nonomura et al show a heating device with article 2 conveyed through the heating chamber 1 being quickly heated by the re-circulating hot gas 14 through circulation duct 13 by a fan 12 in a closed loop 1a at a predetermined temperature. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the preheating chamber 13 of Maeda et al with such closed loop re-circulation quick heating gas as taught by Nonomura et al in order to improve heating efficiency. With regard to claims 4, 6 and 9, the claimed numerical values are deemed to be obvious matter of design choice which produces in absence of any new or

Art Unit: 3749

unexpected results over the prior art references. For example, the speed in Maeda may be controlled in any manner as one desires.

3. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,289,968) in view of Nonomura et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,600,137) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Watanabe et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,823,767).

The furnace of Maeda et al. as modified by Nonomura et al. as above includes all that is recited in claims 2-3 except for a gas burner for heating the recirculated gas. Watanabe et al. teach a furnace with a gas burner b for heating the recirculated gas same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the furnace of Maeda et al. with a gas burner to heat the recirculated gas in order to improve the heating efficiency.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,289,968) in view of Nonomura et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,600,137) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sustarsic et al. (U. S. Pat. 3,984,289).

The furnace of Maeda et al. as modified by Nonomura et al. as above includes all that is recited in claim 5 except for metallic curtains. Sustarsic et al. teach a coke quencher car apparatus with a plurality of metallic curtains 61a for closing the compartment opening. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the furnace of Maeda et al. with metal curtains as taught by Sustarsic et al. in order to improve the furnace heat treatment efficiency.


Art Unit: 3749

*Conclusion*

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 703-308-2354. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Jiping Lu  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3749

J. L.